River Heights City

RIVER HEIGHTS CITY PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA

Thursday, February 1, 2018

Notice is hereby given that the River Heights City Planning Commission will hold their regular meeting beginning at 7:00 p.m. in the River Heights City Office Building at 520 S 500 E.

7:00 p.m. Adoption of Prior Minutes

7:05 p.m. Discuss the State of Utah Affordable Housing Assessment Report

7:20 p.m. Discuss Possible Code Changes

7:45 p.m. Adjourn

Posted this 25th day of January 25, 2018

Sheila Lind, Recorder

In compliance with the American Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations (including auxiliary communicative aids and services) during this meeting should notify Sheila Lind, (435) 770-2061 at least 24 hours before the meeting.

River Heights City

River Heights City Planning Commission Minutes of the Meeting 3 February 1, 2018 4 5 Mark Malmstrom, Chairman Commission members: 6 Present: 7 Danny Petersen Cindy Schaub 8 9 Councilmember Blake Wright 10 Recorder Sheila Lind 11 12 Excused Commissioners: Lance Pitcher 13 14 Jake Zollinger 15 Others Present: 16 Danny and Desiree Sandgren 17 18 19 Motions made during the Meeting 20 21 Motion #1 Commissioner Petersen moved to "approve the minutes of the December 7, 2017 Commission Meeting with one change." Commissioner Schaub seconded the motion, which carried دُنے with Malmstrom, Petersen and Schaub in favor. No one opposed. Pitcher and Zollinger were absent. 24 25 26 27 Proceedings of the Meeting: 28 The River Heights City Planning Commission met at 7:00 p.m. in the Ervin R. Crosbie Council 29 Chambers on February 1, 2018. 30 Adoption of Prior Minutes: Minutes for the December 7, 2017, Planning Commission Meeting 31 were reviewed. Commissioner Schaub suggested a change on line 103: "in" was changed to "is." 32 Commissioner Petersen moved to "approve the minutes of the December 7, 2017 33 34 Commission Meeting with one change." Commissioner Schaub seconded the motion, which carried 35 with Malmstrom, Petersen and Schaub in favor. No one opposed. Pitcher and Zollinger were 36 absent. Discuss the State of Utah Affordable Housing Assessment Report: Commissioner Malmstrom 37 asked Councilmember Wright to explain the Housing Report. Mr. Wright informed that the report is 38 due every two years and shows how our city is trying to submit to the states regulations on moderate 39 income housing needs. A copy of the last report was handed out and reviewed. Mr. Wright read 40 from the new application requirements, which may differ slightly from what was required two years 41.

ago. Commissioner Schaub offered to work on it. She'd like to have it done in 2-3 weeks, which was

42

very acceptable.

<u>Discuss Possible Code Changes:</u> Commissioner Malmstrom reviewed the suggested changes. In regards to the OHV Ordinance, they agreed to replace the city's current code with "River Heights follows the Utah Off-Highway Vehcile Laws and Rules (USC 41-22)." Rather than state the actual code number (since these numbers sometimes change) is was suggested to state, "These laws and rules are available on the State's website."

Commissioner Schaub pointed out RHCC 6-1-3:C. calls out the County roads and wondered if this should be left. Councilmember Wright said he will look through the State's code to see if county roads are addressed.

Commissioner Malmstrom read the definition of structure in 10-2-1. He felt a "tent" would fall under the verbiage already in place. Others agreed. Recorder Lind will discuss it further with Zoning Administrator Don Davis, since he is the one who gave the suggestion.

Commissioner Schaub suggested changing the words "that form a construction" to "constructed."

Councilmember Wright introduced the Sandrens and discussed their interest in a six-foot fence on the sideyard of their corner lot. They turned in a Zoning Clearance Permit in 2017, which was inadvertently signed. While driving by, Zoning Administrator Don Davis saw the fence being installed and discovered the permit shouldn't have been signed. He informed the Sandgrens of the mistake and asked them to stop. Since then, the Sandgrens have talked with Mr. Wright about their options, which he explained to them:

- 1. Change the design of their fence to meet the code.
- 2. Apply for a variance.
- 3. Request a code change to allow another option.

Councilmember Wright explained he had corresponded with Appeal Authority Dustin Ericson, who reviewed the situation and property and responded that a variance isn't likely to be approved. Therefore, Mr. Wright is suggesting the Sandrens don't go that route.

They originally proposed a solid vinyl white fence. Now they are willing to go with an ornamental transparent fence, if they could get six feet. Councilmember Wright asked if the Commission would be willing to discuss a code change in this regard.

Commissioner Malmstrom explained the intent for the fence height limits in the current code is for safety. He also agreed the Sandgrens idea for a transparent fence seems practical.

Commissioner Petersen asked the Sandgrens if there was a way they could comply with the current code. Mr. Sandren drew a diagram of how they would like their fence to be placed. They don't want to make their yard smaller by bringing the fence in, to meet the code. If it were constructed of rod iron and transparent there wouldn't be a visibility problem. They have small children and don't trust a four-foot fence would contain them. They don't want the code to be changed just for them. However, they see the problem coming up again with others.

Commissioner Malmstrom feels it's a reasonable discussion. He suggested discussing a level of opacity in the code and perhaps types of fence materials.

Commissioner Schaub will check to see if she has codes from other cities on fencing. If so, she will send it to the commissioners before the next meeting.

Councilmember Wright suggested another option would be to allow opaque up to four feet and transparent above that. But, he felt leery about what might come of that.

This topic will probably come up again with the back lots along 1000 East. Councilmember Wright explained the regulations that have already been put in place to address these lots. (Property owners and city representatives will form a committee to determine the landscape of the area.)

Recorder Lind explained that Public Works Director Clayten Nelson would like to be able to have some leeway with the code if he foresees a safety issue or not. Councilmember Wright explained that type of thing could put Mr. Nelson and maybe the City at risk.

Commissioner Malmstrom informed the Sandgrens there would be further discussion on fencing at the next meeting. Councilmember Wright explained the code change process. There will be a hearing at some point (as early as March 1) and then it will go to the City Council for final approval (as early as March 13). The Sandgrens thanked the Commission for considering their request.

Discussion was had on the 'Streets and Street Improvements' recommended verbiage. Changes were made.

Commissioner Petersen brought up shipping containers in yards, for the purpose of storage. It isn't a problem in River Heights yet, but he feels it could be in the future. The Commission agreed not to allow them in residential areas, except during construction. They concluded they didn't want to allow them out of sight in a person's yard or covered with materials to improve the look. Councilmember Wright suggested adding it to 10-13-9.

The meeting adjourned at 8:55 p.m.

Mul Me ene

Sheila Lind, Recorder

Mark Malmstrom, Commission Chair

submitted 9/19/16 Return to: rkohutek@utah.gov

MODERATE-INCOME HOUSING PLAN BIENNIAL REPORT FORM

City	River Heights	County:	Cache
Respondent	Nina Knowles	Position: Planni	ng Commission
Email		Phone:	(435) 752-2646
Date Prepared	08/03/2016	_	
The following or	estions are based on modera	to income be-	Inches I and the second second
	tablished throughout Utah Co		
		And Lo, Gita	ptc1 yal
1 Has your o	ity's legislative body adopted a m	oderate-income ho	using element as part of its
	an in accordance with UCA 10-9a-		
⊙ Yes	o No		
		_	
1.1	If No: Please outline the steps yo		
	income housing plan, and descri	be any relateu assis	stance it needs.
			i
			4
		<u> </u>	
1.2	If Yes: When did your city compl	lata ita maat võgant	madanaka in sama hambur
1,2	plan?	iere itz most i ecemi	. moderate-income nousing
	Feb 2009		
	ity updated its moderate-income l		mate of the need for
	income housing in the city for the	next five years?	
O Yes	No		
2.4	If No. Disease describe seems stand-	-FC	
2.1	If No: Please describe your city's assistance it needs,	efforts to update t	hese estimates and related
			
	River Heights City is land locke limited. Consequently, the city	ed and developmen	at plans for the city are
	varying lot sizes for future deve	lopment if land the	on for multiple uses and at is adjacent to the city is
	annexed. We have been workin	g with Logan City	and Providence City
	which both have affordable hou	sing on the border	s of the city.
	,		·

2.2 If Yes: Please email your updated affordable housing plan and estimates to:

rkohutek@utah.gov

3	Describe your city's efforts "to reduce, mitigate, or eliminate local regulatory barriers to moderate-income housing" during the past two years.		
	River Heights City codes currently accommodate different lot sizes for residential planning to help reduce costs and barriers for moderate income residents. No specific effort beyond what the city's current code allows have been made in the last two years.		
4	Describe the "actions taken by [your] city to encourage the preservation of existing moderate-income housing and development of new moderate-income housing" during the past two years.		
	River Heights City is working close with other cities and continues to look at avenues to improve the social well being of each individual in the process of development and preservation. The city is committed in keeping a R-8 zone.		
	·		
5	Describe the "progress made within [your] city to provide moderate-income housing, as measured by permits issued for new units of moderate-income housing" during the past two years.		
	River Heights City has kept the same established policies as in previous years.		
	,		
	,		
6	Describe the "efforts made by [your] city to coordinate moderate-income housing plans and actions with neighboring municipalities" during the last two years.		
	We have supported neighboring cities to help them in their development of projects that border our city. The city is currently in the process of working with Logan City on a boundary adjustment that will provide more moderate income housing.		
-			
7	Please indicate which moderate-income populations your moderate-income housing plan addresses (check all that apply):		
	■ 7.1 80-100% AMI □ 7.5 Homeless		
	 ■ 7.2 50-80% AMI ■ 7.6 Elderly ■ 7.3 30-50% AMI □ 7.7 Disabled 		
	■ 7.4 < 30% AMI □ 7.8 Other		

•

1

8	actions to applicable	ase summarize the section of your housing plan that describes your city's goals and ions to facilitate the development of moderate-income housing in your community. If plicable, detail the use of RDA/EDA/URA/CRA funds for affordable housing. If litional explanation is necessary, please email a separate addendum.				
	see attached.					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
9	Does your moderate-income housing plan address Fair Housing issues and practices related to protected classes and/or suspect classifications? • Yes • No					
	9.1	If Yes: Please specify how your moderate-income housing plan addresses Fair Housing issues and practices related to protected classes and/or suspect classifications?				
		see attached.				
	9.2	If No: Please identify known barriers to Fair Housing in your city and describe the steps your city is taking to ensure an adequate supply of Fair Housing.				
10	Does the stock of housing designed to accommodate disabled individuals meet the needs of the disabled population of your city? • Yes • No					
	10.1	If Yes: Please provide additional detail and describe how the current housing stock meets the needs of disabled residents in your community.				
		Majority of housing in River Heights City is Single Family Dwellings. Residents can modify their homes to accomodate disability needs per Federal regulations.				

.

	10.2	If No: Please describe the efforts you are making to obtain this information and any plans you have to provide Fair Housing for the disabled.
•		
11		Housing & Community Development do to assist your city in furthering the ent and implementation of its moderate-income housing plan?
	Nothing a	at this time.
	5	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
a high-qu	uality affor	of Housing & Community Development will consider cities that submit rdable housing plan and a current Moderate-Income Housing Biennial at 31st for participation in the Community-Driven Housing Program.
12	Would you Program (0	•
13	Fund (OW)	•
	O Yes	s
SUBN		N'GUIDELINES:
	DONOT	asibeen optimized for electronic data collection. PRINT AND/OR SCAN THIS FORM! extra strain of the
		ulah gov. at the Division of Housing & Community Development.

Proposed Changes to the River Heights City Code February 1, 2018

6-1: OFF HIGH WAY VEHICLES

Replace 6-1 with . . .

"River Heights follows the Utah Off-Highway Vehicle Laws and Rules (USC 41-22)"

10-2-1: DEFINITIONS

Add "tent" to structure definition

"constructed unit instead of austruction"

11-6-3:W. STREETS AND STREET IMPROVEMENTS

HIPPING CONTAINEDS AND STOPABEOF 14

Revise:

Seal Coats: The developer will apply an approved seal coat to all paved roads in the development no later than eighteen (18) months after the initial overlay of asphalt. The proposed dates of the seal coat application, method and materials proposed for use must have the approval of the city engineer. The seal coat proposed shall meet a minimum standard of roadway-grade, high-density mineral bond seal coat system or alternate system with a suitable performance history. The seal coat submittal shall be provided to the city engineer two weeks before the anticipated application date to allow for the city engineer's review and approval (or rejection if the system does not meet minimum standards). To ensure completion of the seal coat, the developer shall post a security of performance of 150% 115% of the amount of the engineer's estimate of the cost of sealing the asphalt pavement as part of the subdivision security of performance. This portion will be held as part of the warranty bond until the seal coat is in place or until the warranty is released, whichever is later.

Seal Coats: To ensure completion of the seal coat, the developer shall post a security of performance of 150 115% of the amount of the engineer's estimate of the cost of sealing the asphalt pavement as part of the subdivision security of performance. This portion will be held as part of the warranty bond until the seal coat is in place or until the warranty is released, whichever is later. The date of the seal coat application, and method and materials proposed for use must have approval of the city engineer. The seal coat proposed shall meet a minimum standard of roadway-grade, high-density mineral bond seal coat system or alternate system with a suitable performance history. Converting of the scale coat was the many of the without of the warranty of the scale coat was the many of the warranty of the scale coat was and the warranty of the scale coat was a suitable performance history. Converting of the scale coat was a suitable performance history. Converting of the scale coat was a suitable performance history. Converting of the scale coat was a suitable performance history. Converting of the scale coat was a suitable performance history. Converting of the scale coat was a suitable performance history. Converting of the scale coat was a suitable performance history. Converting of the scale coat and the scale coat application and method and materials proposed for use must have approval of the warranty bond until the seal coat application and method and materials proposed for use must have approval of the warranty bond until the seal coat application and method and materials proposed for use must have approval of the warranty bond until the seal coat application and method and materials proposed for use must have approval of the warranty bond until the seal coat is in place or until the warranty bond until the seal coat is in place or until the warranty bond until the seal coat is in place or until the warranty bond until the seal coat is in place or until the warranty bond until the seal coat is in place or un